

**Matis, Jennifer A.**

---

**From:** Flynn, Terence F.  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2011 11:23 AM  
**To:** 'Kenneth Lopatka'  
**Subject:** RE: final edits

BTW, the DH Horton case, which presents this issue, may not issue. Brian is recused, and while the majority wants to press forward, Deliberative

---

**From:** Kenneth Lopatka [mailto:Personal E-Mail Address]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 11:17 AM  
**To:** Flynn, Terence F.  
**Subject:** Fwd: final edits

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Kenneth Lopatka <Personal E-Mail Address>  
**Date:** Fri, Nov 11, 2011 at 4:28 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: final edits  
**To:** T Flynn's personal email address

Terry:

Hope you are enjoying the Veterans Day Holiday. I am forwarding the page proofs of my article in case it is useful for you. There aren't any substantive changes from the manuscript version, but I have had to do more footnote work because the law review editors are compulsive about footnotes, (Most of the work was eliminating or fixing footnotes they added, although I did add some of my own and update others. The bottom line is that the article is finally in a citable form.

Any guess as to what is going to happen after Congress adjourns? I have been thinking that Obama might nominate a moderate Dem so that you and that nominee can be submitted to the Senate for confirmation in order to keep the Board operating. I am not sure that there will be a sufficient belief on the part of Republicans in the moderation of the Dem nominee to make that work, and another reason why it probably won't work is that Obama may include a radical GC (or Lafe) as part of the package.

You obviously have a more educated view about what is likely to happen, and I would enjoy hearing your thoughts at a time convenient for you.

All the best.

Ken

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Date:** Fri, Nov 11, 2011 at 3:50 PM  
**Subject:** Re: final edits  
**To:** Kenneth Lopatka <Personal E-Mail Address>

I've attached the proof. We submitted the proof this week, and I understand that it can take about

11/28/2011

a month for you to receive the hard copy articles. Let me know if you have any other questions.

thanks,

Editor

On Fri, Nov 11, 2011 at 3:40 PM, Kenneth Lopatka <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Editor

Although I suggested earlier that you should send it, it occurs to me that you must have more than enough essential things to do right now. Why don't you send the camera ready proof to me, and I will forward it. By the way, when do you expect the printed version to come off the presses?

Ken

On Fri, Nov 11, 2011 at 2:26 PM, [REDACTED] Editor wrote:

Mr. Lopatka, I have the camera ready proof of your article. If you give me the email address I can send it along to the NLRB, or I can email it to you to pass along, either way is fine.

thanks,

Editor

On Thu, Oct 27, 2011 at 3:02 PM, Kenneth Lopatka <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Editor

Attached are two documents. The first is a list of the final edits I made in the revised draft article you sent recently. The second is the revised draft with my final edits. The second document bears my changes in red. Between the red ink and the list of final edits, I don't believe there will be mistakes about the changes I have made. If, however, your editors have any uncertainties, they should not hesitate to contact me.

When the article is in page proof, let me know. If we beat the NLRB decision, I will give you an e-mail address where you can send the page proofs in order to capture an opportunity to be cited.

The work your editors have done is very good and very much appreciated.

Ken Lopatka